Acta Crystallographica Section D Biological Crystallography ISSN 0907-4449

### Young-Hyun Han,<sup>a</sup> Yong-Hak Chung,<sup>a</sup> Tae-Yun Kim,<sup>b</sup> Sung-Jong Hong,<sup>b</sup> Jung-Do Choi<sup>a</sup> and Yong Je Chung<sup>a</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>School of Biological Sciences, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju 360-763, South Korea, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Parasitology, College of Medicine, Chung-Ang University, Seoul 156-756, South Korea

Correspondence e-mail: chungyj@cbucc.chungbuk.ac.kr

C 2001 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Denmark – all rights reserved

# Crystallization of *Clonorchis sinensis* 26 kDa glutathione S-transferase and its fusion proteins with peptides of different lengths

A *Clonorchis sinensis* 26 kDa glutathione S-transferase (*Cs*GST) and its fusion proteins containing 14 and 48 amino-acid peptides at the N-terminus have been crystallized using polyethylene glycol monomethylether 550 as a precipitant. Crystals of the three proteins show very similar crystal properties: they diffract to at least 2.3 Å resolution and belong to the orthorhombic space group  $P2_12_12_1$ . The unit-cell parameters of *Cs*GST crystals were a = 66.64 (1), b = 68.91 (1), c = 123.41 (2) Å, which are very close to those of the crystals of the two fusion proteins. In addition, *Cs*GST fusion proteins containing varying extents of N-terminal-extended peptides are incorporated into a crystal, indicating that the extended peptides have little effect on crystal packing. These results suggest that the crystallization system of *Cs*GST/peptide fusion protein may be generally applicable to obtain crystals of small peptides.

#### 1. Introduction

Glutathione S-transferases (GSTs) are a group of enzymes that catalyze the conjugation of glutathione (GSH) to a wide variety of electrophilic substrates, resulting in elimination of the GSH adducts from the cell. Such detoxification properties of the enzymes are believed to be responsible to the development of resistance of cells towards drugs, herbicides and pesticides (Hayes & Pulford, 1995). GSTs are also related to many cellular functions, such as their polymorphisms on cancer susceptibility (Carmichael et al., 1988). A number of threedimensional structures of GSTs have been determined by X-ray crystallography and have revealed that the monomer of all functional GSTs is composed of two domains: a smaller N-terminal  $\alpha/\beta$ -domain and a larger C-terminal  $\alpha$ -domain. Although GSTs share this common folding pattern, each structure has characteristic features, particularly concerning the substrate-binding site. In helminths, the enzymes are known as potential chemo- and immuno-therapeutic targets (Ferru et al., 1997; Sexton et al., 1990). So far, crystal structures have been determined for isozymes from Schistosoma japonicum (SjGST: Lim et al., 1994; McTigue et al., 1995) and Fasciola hepatica (Rossjohn et al., 1997).

One of the major difficulties in determining the structure of proteins by X-ray diffraction is to grow large single crystals: the crystallization procedure still remains an empirical and timeconsuming step. Fortunately, recent studies concerning the use of GST-fusion proteins in crystallization (Kuge *et al.*, 1997; Lim *et al.*, 1994; Tang *et al.*, 1999; Ware *et al.*, 1999; Zhang oteins s are otides t the

Received 22 August 2000 Accepted 30 November 2000

et al., 1998) provide a clue to a rational approach to solving the above problem. In particular, if the protein segments fused to GST have little effect on crystal packing and the fusion proteins are crystallized under the same conditions used for GST (Tang et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 1998), crystallization is no longer a limiting factor in X-ray structure determination.

We have crystallized a helminth GST, a 26 kDa isozyme from *C. sinensis* (*Cs*GST), in order to determine its three-dimensional structure. During the course of this study we have observed that two *Cs*GST fusion proteins containing 14 and 48 amino-acid peptides at the N-terminus, 14NPCsGST and 48NPCsGST, can be crystallized under the conditions similar to those used for *Cs*GST. In this report, we present a possible application of *Cs*GST fusion proteins as a useful system for growing crystals of small peptides and for determining their structures, together with the results of the crystallization experiments.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Purification

The 48NPCsGST construct (Hong *et al.*, unpublished work) is a recombinant pBluescript SK(+) derived from a clone purified from  $\lambda$  ZAP library by *in vivo* excision. In this construct, a gene for the N-terminus of *Escherichia coli*  $\beta$ -galactosidase is in frame to the CsGST and is expressed as a fusion peptide. A recombinant plasmid, 14NPCsGST, was constructed by subcloning 48NPCsGST cDNA restricted with *Eco*RI and *Xho*I endonucleases into a pMet expression vector. The pMet vector was constructed by re-ligating pET-23b plasmid DNA after *NdeI/Bam*HI double digestion and flushing both ends. The coding region of *Cs*GST cDNA was amplified by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) employing a primer encoding the N-terminus of the enzyme and an antisense primer T7. The *Cs*GST expression plasmid was constructed by subcloning the PCR product double-restricted with *NdeI* and *XhoI* endonucleases into the pET-23b expression vector (Novagen Co.).

Prokaryotic expression host cells, E. coli BL21(DE3)pLysS, were transformed with the recombinant plasmid DNAs and grown in LB medium containing ampicillin  $(50 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1})$  at 310 K. Protein expression was induced by adding isopropyl- $\beta$ -D-thiogalactopyranoside to the culture medium to a final concentration of 1 mM. After an additional 5 h culture, the cells were harvested and disrupted by ultrasonication. The supernatant of the E. coli lysate was loaded onto a GSH affinity column. The resin was prepared according to the procedure of Simons & vander Jagt (1977) using epoxy-activated Sepharose 6B (Pharmacia LKB Biotechnology, Uppsala, Sweden). Bound protein was eluted from the column with 15 mM GSH solution. Active fractions were identified by an enzymatic assay (Habig et al., 1974). Homogeneous fractions selected by analyzing SDS-PAGE gels were pooled.

#### 2.2. Crystallization

The sample was dialyzed against 5 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.0 and then concentrated using a Centricon concentrator (Amicon Corporation) until the protein



#### Figure 1

SDS–PAGE analysis of dissolved crystals. Lane A, CsGST; lane B, 14NPCsGST; lane C, 48NPCsGST; lane D, 48NPCsGST incubated for 12 h; lane E, 48NPCsGST incubated for 24 h; lane F, 48NPCsGST incubated for 3 d; lane H, marker protein (carbonic anhydrase). Samples loaded onto lanes D, E, F and G are referred to as VNPCsGST. SDS–PAGE was performed on a  $16 \times 18 \times 1.5$  cm gel containing 12% acrylamide. concentration reached  $10-20 \text{ mg ml}^{-1}$ . Initial crystallization conditions were screened according to the sparse-matrix method (Jancarik & Kim, 1991) using the hanging-drop vapour-diffusion technique.

As described later, 48NPCsGST is progressively cleaved to smaller proteins. To elucidate the effect of the cleavage on crystallization, the protein was allowed to be cleaved by incubating samples at room temperature for times varying between 12 h and 3 d and the resulting samples (VNPCsGSTs) were used for crystallization experiments. N-terminal sequence analysis of 48NPCsGST incubated for 3 d was carried out using an Applied Biosystems Procise 491 Automatic Sequencer (Perkin-Elmer, Applied Biosystems Division). In order to demonstrate that protein in the crystal retains its intact molecular size, crystals were carefully washed with reservoir solution and dissolved in a phosphate buffer. Only one crystal from each sample was selected for the experiment. The dissolved crystals were then analyzed by SDS-PAGE.

#### 2.3. Preliminary X-ray diffraction analysis

Diffraction data were collected at room temperature on a DIP-2000 imaging-plate detector (MacScience Co.) using Cu  $K\alpha$ radiation from a MacScience M06XHF rotating-anode X-ray generator operated at 50 kV and 90 mA. A total of 90 data frames were measured, with an exposure of 15 min and an oscillation angle of 1.0° per frame. The crystal-to-detector distance was set to 120 mm. The raw data were processed to 2.3 Å using *DENZO* and scaled using *SCALEPACK* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1996).

#### 3. Results and discussion

The extended peptide of 48NPCsGST consists of 37 amino-acid residues of the  $\beta$ -galactosidase  $\alpha$ -subunit, Met-Thr-Met-Ile-Thr-Phe-Ser-Ala-Gln-Leu-Thr-Leu-Thr-Lys-Gly-Asn-Lys-Ser-Trp-Ser-Ser-Thr-Ala-Val-Ala-Ala-Ala-Leu-Glu-Leu-Val-Asp-Pro-Pro-Gly-Cys-Arg, and 11 amino-acid residues encoded by the 5'-untranslated region of CsGST, Asn-Ser-Ala-Arg-Ala-Ala-Ile-Arg-Glu-Pro-Glu. 14NPCsGST contains the above 11 amino-acid peptide and an extrapeptide, Met-Asp-Pro, at the N-terminus. 48NPCsGST was progressively cleaved to smaller proteins and eventually to a 26 kDa protein in 3 d. It was not possible to derive any sequence data from N-terminal sequence analysis for the resulting 26 kDa

protein, probably because of the N-terminal amino group being blocked by an unidentified chemical group. This suggests that the extended peptide is removed from the fusion protein. Mixtures of *Cs*GST fusion proteins with varying extents of N-terminal extended peptides, VNP*Cs*GSTs, were prepared according to the procedure described in §2.

Large single crystals of *Cs*GST were obtained reproducibly from a 10% PEG monomethylether 550 solution containing 5 m*M* zinc sulfate in 0.1 *M* 2-(*N*-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid buffer pH 6.5. Rod-shaped crystals appeared within 1 d and grew to dimensions of  $0.8 \times 0.5 \times$ 0.4 mm in about 3 d. Under these conditions, 14NP*Cs*GST, 48NP*Cs*GST and VNP*Cs*GSTs were crystallized using a similar procedure as in the case of *Cs*GST.

SDS-PAGE analysis of the dissolved crystals of CsGST, 14NPCsGST and 48NPCsGST showed strong bands at the predicted positions on the gel, indicating that crystals contain intact proteins. Interestingly, the dissolved crystals of 48NPCsGST and VNPCsGSTs showed multiple bands (lanes C, D, E, F and G in Fig. 1), indicating that each crystal contains a mixture of different-sized fusion proteins and partitioning has not occurred during the crystallization.

X-ray diffraction data for the CsGST crystal were collected with 98.6% completeness to 2.3 Å resolution at room temperature.  $R_{\text{merge}}$  values were 5.3% overall and 18.6% in the last resolution shell between 2.42 and 2.3 Å. Data were also collected for crystals of both 14NPCsGST and 48NPCsGST. The data sets revealed that the crystals belong to the orthorhombic space group  $P2_12_12_1$ . The unit-cell parameters of CsGST crystals were a = 66.64 (1), b = 68.91(1), c = 123.41(2) Å, which are very close to those of 14NPCsGST and 48NPCsGST, with unit-cell parameters a =66.52 (1), b = 69.02 (2), c = 123.60 (2) Å and a = 66.48(1), b = 68.98(2), c = 123.72(2)Å, respectively. Crystals of VNPCsGST did not show significant changes in unit-cell parameters (data not shown). Assuming one dimer molecule per crystallographic asymmetric unit, a molecular mass of 25.5 kDa and a partial specific volume of 0.74 cm<sup>3</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, the crystal volume per protein mass  $(V_{\rm M})$ and the solvent content of CsGST crystals were calculated to be  $2.78 \text{ Å}^3 \text{ Da}^{-1}$  and 56%, respectively (Matthews, 1968). The solvent content of 48NPCsGST crystals, with the largest  $V_{\rm M}$  (2.36 Å<sup>3</sup> Da<sup>-1</sup>) of the three proteins being investigated, was estimated to be 48%, which is still typical for protein crystals.

The preparation of proteins using SiGST as a tag protein have advantages in cloning, expressing and purifying the target proteins through glutathione-based affinity chromatography. Prior to crystallization, the proteins fused to SjGST are usually cleaved from SiGST with an appropriate protease and purified via additional steps. In contrast to relatively large proteins, small peptides fused to SiGST can be crystallized without further processing (Kim et al., 1999; Kuge et al., 1997; Lim et al., 1994; Tang et al., 1999; Ware et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 1998). The use of fusion proteins may have advantages in obtaining crystals of the peptides fused to SiGST and in determining their structures by employing the molecular-replacement technique (Kuge et al., 1997). In our case, two CsGST-fusion proteins and CsGST are crystallized in the same crystal form under similar conditions. Moreover, VNPCsGSTs are incorporated into a crystal that allows any relative amounts of constituent proteins. These results reflect the fact that CsGST crystals have spaces large enough to accommodate the fused peptides without significant changes in the crystal lattice.

Taken together, it is believed that the crystallization system of *Cs*GST-fusion proteins, like *Sj*GST-fusion proteins, will provide a useful method for obtaining crystals of small peptides and for determining their structures. The structure analysis of *Cs*GST using the molecular-replacement method is currently in progress.

This work was supported by a grant from the Korea Ministry of Education (BSRI-98-3434).

#### References

- Carmichael, J., Forrester, L. M., Lewis, A. D., Hayes, J. D., Hayes, P. C. & Wolf, C. R. (1988). *Carcinogenesis*, 9, 1617–1621.
- Ferru, I., Geroges, B., Bossus, M., Estaquier, J., Delacre, M., Harn, D. A., Tartar, A., Capron, A., Grassmasse, H. & Auriault, C. (1997). *Parasite Immunol.* 19, 1–11.
- Habig, W. H., Pabst, M. J. & Jacoby, W. B. (1974). J. Biol. Chem. 249, 7130–7139.
- Hayes, J. D. & Pulford, D. J. (1995). Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol. 30, 445–600.
- Jancarik, J. & Kim, S.-H. (1991). J. Appl. Cryst. 24, 409–411.

## crystallization papers

- Kim, S., Shin, D. W., Kim, D. H. & Eom, S. H. (1999). Acta Cryst. D55, 1601–1603.
- Kuge, M., Fujii, Y., Shimizu, T., Hirose, F., Matsukage, A. & Hakoshima, T. (1997). *Protein Sci.* 6, 1783–1786.
- Lim, K., Ho, J. X., Keeling, K., Gilliland, G. L., Ji, X., Ruker, F. & Carter, D. C. (1994). *Protein Sci.* 3, 2233–2244.
- McTigue, M. A., Williams, D. R. & Tainer, J. A. (1995). J. Mol. Biol. 246, 21–27.
- Matthews, B. W. (1968). J. Mol. Biol. 33, 491–497.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1996). Methods Enzymol. 276, 307–326.
- Rossjohn, J., Feil, S. C., Wilce, M. C. J., Sexton, J. L., Spithill, T. W. & Parker, M. W. (1997). J. Mol. Biol. 273, 857–872.
- Sexton, J. L., Milner, A. R., Panaccio, M., Waddington, J., Wijffels, G., Chandler, D., Thompson, C., Wilson, L., Spithill, T. W., Mitchell, G. F. & Campbell, N. G. (1990). J. Immunol. 145, 3905–3910.
- Simons, P. C. & vander Jagt, D. L. (1977). Anal. Biochem. 82, 334.
- Tang, L., Guo, B., Javed, A., Choi, J. Y., Hiebert, S., Lian, J. B., van Wijnen, A. J., Stein, J. L., Stein, G. S. & Zhou, G. W. (1999). *J. Biol. Chem.* 274, 33580–33586.
- Ware, S., Donahue, J. P., Hawiger, J. & Anderson,W. F. (1999). *Protein Sci.* 8, 2663–2671.
- Zhang, Z., Devarajan, P., Dorfman, A. L. & Morrow, J. S. (1998). J. Biol. Chem. 273, 18681– 18684.